

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,050	06/08/2005	Reinhard Hering	2002P01300WOUS	1389
	7590 09/12/200 PPLIANCES CORPOI		EXAM	IINER
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPA	PATEL, RITA RAMESH		
100 BOSCH BO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1746	<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/538,050	HERING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rita R. Patel	1746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2007</u> .			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>20-29</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>24-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the response to election/restriction filed on 7/2/07. Claims 20-29 are pending. Claims 20-23 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Claim 24 has been amended.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 20-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/2/07.

Claim Objections

Claim 24 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 14 it appears "a thereafter" is a typo and should read "thereafter" instead. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 24-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffberg et al. herein referred to as "Hoffberg" (Pub No.: US 2002/0151992 A1).

Hoffberg teaches an adaptive interface for a programmable system, for predicting a desired user function, based on user history, as well as machine internal status and context. The apparatus receives an input from user and other data (Abstract). Hoffberg's present invention may be incorporated into other types of programmable controls, for example those necessary or otherwise used in the control of a smart house system with appropriate sensors that are used to execute the program such as "start dishwasher" (Paragraph [1182]). Hoffberg further teaches this application may be used for a washer and also a dryer (Paragraph [1281]), thus reading on Applicant's claims for washing and drying functions in a dishwasher.

Hoffberg further explains that in the event of a door opening situation, the system would take appropriate action, including interruption of normal climate control until after the disturbance has subsided and normal conditions are achieved; based on the actual climatic conditions or predicted climatic conditions begin a climate compensation control, designed to maximize efficiency and also maintain climatic conditions during the disturbance, as well as return to normal after the disturbance. It is also noted that the climatic control system could also be outfitter with actuators for opening and closing doors and windows, or an interface with such other system, so that it could take direct action to correct the disturbance, e.g., by closing the door. The climate between the internal and external ambients may differ in temperature, humidity, pollutants, or the like, and appropriate sensors may be employed (Paragraph [1198]).

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffberg as applied to claims above.

Hoffberg teaches the claimed invention, except fails to indicate a temperature at which a fresh washing/drying cycle must be re-initiated. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to refresh the wash/dry cycle depending on the temperature since the efficacy of washing and drying functions clearly depend on the temperature. However, Hoffman indicates restarting wash/dry cycles based on predicted and user-inputted temperature values. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize these temperature values to achieve effective washing and drying means. It is well settled that determination of optimum values of cause effective variables such as these process parameters is within the skill of one practicing in the art. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Furthermore, Applicant's recitation for a temperature breakpoint of approximately 40 °C presents no significance of unknown criticality.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita R. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-8701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rrn

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER